

HYPERTENSION AND ATRIAL FIBRILLATION, WHAT CONNECTS THEM

Saidov Farrukh Abdurasulovich

Department of Hematology and clinical diagnostics Bukhara state medical institute, Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Hypertension (arterial hypertension) is a chronic disease, the key manifestation of which is persistent high blood pressure (BP). It occurs due to dysregulation of vascular tone, changes in the functioning of the heart and kidneys. Hypertension leads to functional and organic damage to the most important organs - the heart, kidneys and central nervous system. Up to 20% of all people experience high blood pressure. With age, the frequency of hypertension increases and is most often detected in patients after 40 years of age, but it happens that an increase in blood pressure is also observed in adolescents. Arterial hypertension (AH) causes the development of vascular atherosclerosis and often leads to life-threatening complications. The combination of these two diseases is one of the most common causes of death in people.

Atrial fibrillation is a heart rhythm disorder characterized by frequent (from 350 to 700 beats per minute), chaotic excitation and contraction of atrial muscle fibers. This creates a “shimmering” effect in the heart tissue. With this type of heart rhythm disturbance, the ventricles contract less frequently than the atria due to the inhibition of the flow of irregular impulses in the conduction system of the heart.

Keywords: arterial hypertension, atrial fibrillation, blood pressure measurement, blood pressure variability, tonometer.

Actuality. Arterial hypertension has an extremely high prevalence not only in Russia, but also in the world and is one of the most important factors in the development of cardiovascular diseases and complications, such as myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary heart disease, chronic heart failure, cerebrovascular (ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, transient ischemic attack) and renal (chronic kidney disease) diseases [1].

The prevalence of hypertension increases with age, reaching 60% or higher in people over 60 years of age [2]. Because the observed increase in life expectancy is accompanied by an aging population and a corresponding increase in the number of sedentary and overweight patients, the prevalence of hypertension is predicted to increase worldwide. According to the forecast, by 2025 the number of patients with hypertension will increase by 15–20% and reach almost 1.5 billion [3].

Measurements in clinical trials. Such measurements are always carefully standardized and follow a protocol. Recently, they have been performed mainly with electronic devices.

Measurements in real clinical practice. Without strict adherence to measurement conditions, rest duration, patient position, number of measurements, averaging method, etc. Overestimated compared to point 1 by an average of 14/10 mmHg. Art., however, this difference is approximate due to the lack of standardization of methodology [4].

Automatic office pressure measurement. On average, below point 1 by 5/5 mmHg. Art. and approximately corresponds to the daily values of 24-hour blood pressure monitoring. Eliminates many of the limitations of office measurements, but requires additional material resources and is not readily available [5].

Arterial hypertension is the most important risk factor for atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common stable cardiac arrhythmia, often leading to serious consequences and causing premature death in patients with this pathology. The RACE and AFFIRM studies found that the combination of AF with hypertension sharply increases the risk of thromboembolic complications, including stroke, despite anticoagulant therapy [6, 7]. Currently, hypertension is considered as a determining, independent, potentially reversible risk factor for the development of AF. The role of hypertension in the development of AF has been confirmed in several large clinical studies: STOP-2, CAPPP, LIFE [8–10]. This is why it is so important to diagnose increased blood pressure in a timely manner, which requires devices characterized by the greatest sensitivity and accuracy of measurements. Measuring blood pressure in patients with AF is difficult due to the high variability of indicators. To obtain a representative mean value, blood pressure should be measured as often as possible. Each measurement should be repeated at least 3 times in a row [11], since the variability of blood pressure in patients with AF is significantly higher than in patients with sinus rhythm.

Purpose of the study: identify indications for the use of different types of tonometers in patients with AF: mechanical type, automatic type with an arrhythmia indicator with cuffs on the shoulder and automatic type with an arrhythmia indicator with cuffs on the forearm.

Material and methods. The study was conducted from January to March 2021 by employees of the Department of Therapy, Clinical Pharmacology and Emergency Medical Care of the Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher Education MGMSU named after. A.I. Evdokimov Ministry of Health of Russia on the basis of the multidisciplinary hospital of the City Clinical Hospital named after. S.I. Spasokukotsky. The study included patients over 18 years of age with AF of any etiology, paroxysmal form, no more than 1 month old, as well as with a permanent form of AF (tachysystolic form), who consented to be included in the study. All patients had a history of hypertension of varying severity. The maximum duration of the study for each patient was 1 day. The exclusion criterion was the patient's refusal to participate in the study.

The study included 202 patients, of which 122 were women. The average age of the subjects was 61.8 ± 1.3 years. Patients were divided into groups depending on the department where they were at the time of blood pressure measurement. In each group, a sequential comparison was made of data obtained by measuring with a mechanical tonometer and automatic tonometers with a cuff on the shoulder, as well as a mechanical tonometer and automatic tonometers with a wrist cuff.

The work used a mechanical tonometer E-mega I (Riester), the readings of which served as a control, an automatic tonometer OMRON M2 BASIC HEM-7121-ALRU (OMRON M2 BASIC) with a built-in arrhythmia indicator, an automatic tonometer OMRON RS2 HEM-6121-Ru (OMRON RS2) and automatic tonometers from other manufacturers (B1 and A1 - automatic tonometers with cuffs on the shoulder, B2 and A2 - automatic tonometers with cuffs on the wrist (wrist tonometers)).

For the study, we selected devices that were as identical as possible in their technical characteristics, the main ones of which we consider: the presence of the appropriate certificates for the devices, the presence of an arrhythmia indicator, the size of the cuff (for correct fixation on the shoulder/wrist), the possibility of using the power supply and/or batteries.

All patients included in the study had AF recorded on the electrocardiogram at the time of blood pressure measurement. The study was carried out in three departments: intensive care, general intensive care and therapeutic department.

Statistical processing of the data was carried out in Microsoft Excel 2010. To determine the statistical significance of the differences, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Differences were considered statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

Research results. The first group consisted of 68 patients, of which 36 were men, who were treated in the intensive care unit. The average age of the subjects was 65.4 ± 1.1 years. 48 patients were diagnosed with ischemic cardiomyopathy, 8 with post-infarction atherosclerosis, and 12 with toxic myocardial damage. The patients' condition is assessed as serious.

The differences between the SBP indicators of the OMRON M2 BASIC and B1 tonometers and the indicators of the mechanical tonometer were statistically insignificant ($p \geq 0.05$), the minimal difference from the indicators of the mechanical tonometer was observed when measured with the OMRON M2 BASIC tonometer. The deviation of the A1 tonometer readings from the mechanical tonometer readings was -6.8 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$).

As for the DBP values, the deviations of the indicators of the automatic tonometer OMRON M2 BASIC and B1 from the indicators of the mechanical tonometer were statistically insignificant and amounted to $+0.1$ and $+1.5$ mm Hg. Art. respectively ($p \geq 0.05$). When comparing DBP indicators of a mechanical tonometer and an automatic tonometer A1, the difference in indicators was -7.5 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$).

Analysis of SBP values showed that the deviation of the values of the B2 tonometer from the values of the mechanical tonometer was $+9$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), tonometer A2 -9.2 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$). For the OMRON RS2 tonometer, the deviation was minimal and amounted to $+0.6$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$).

In relation to DBP values, deviations from control values were $+6.2$ mmHg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), -8 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$) and $+0.1$ mmHg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$) respectively.

Thus, in the 1st group of patients in the general intensive care unit, only the OMRON RS2 and OMRON M2 BASIC tonometers had insignificant deviations when measuring SBP and DBP from the mechanical tonometer readings.

In group 2 there were 66 patients, of which 38 were women (the average age of all patients was 57.2 ± 3.1 years), who were in the intensive care unit. 46 patients were diagnosed with ischemic cardiomyopathy, 10 with post-infarction atherosclerosis, 8 with toxic myocardial damage, and 2 with thyrotoxicosis. The condition was assessed as severe in 35 cases, and as moderate in 31 cases.

The results of measuring blood pressure (Fig. 3) using a mechanical tonometer and automatic tonometers with a cuff on the shoulder showed that statistically significant deviations in SBP indicators throughout the day were demonstrated by B1 devices $+9.1$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$) and A1 -8.7 mmHg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$). For the OMRON M2 BASIC tonometer, the deviation of the SBP value from the results of a mechanical tonometer was $+0.5$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$).

For DBP indicators, the following deviations were obtained relative to the control values of the mechanical tonometer: for the automatic tonometer B1 $+8.6$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for tonometer A1 -7.3 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for the OMRON M2 BASIC device $+0.5$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$).

When using the B2 tonometer, the deviations in SBP values relative to the values of the comparison tonometer were greater $+8.4$ mmHg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), when using tonometer A2 - to the smaller side -9.3 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$). The OMRON RS2 tonometer showed the minimum average deviation of SBP -1 mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$). The deviation of DBP indicators of automatic tonometers from mechanical ones for B2 was $+10.5$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for A2 -7.5 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for OMRON RS2 $+0.5$ mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$).

Thus, in this group of patients, the values of SBP and DBP when using OMRON M2 BASIC and OMRON RS2 tonometers did not differ significantly from the data obtained when using a mechanical tonometer. Tonometers OMRON M2 BASIC, OMRON RS2 can be recommended for use in this group of patients to monitor blood pressure.

The third group of the study included 68 patients (46 of them women), whose average age was 62.6 ± 1.5 years. 27 patients were diagnosed with ischemic cardiomyopathy, 26 with post-infarction atherosclerosis, and 15 with toxic myocardial damage. The condition of 23 patients was assessed as moderate, 45 as satisfactory. Measurements were carried out in the therapy department.

When measuring pressure using wrist tonometers, the values presented in Figure 6 were obtained. For SBP, the following deviations in values from the data of a mechanical tonometer were identified: for the B2 tonometer it was +10.9 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for tonometer A2 - -6.5 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for the OMRON RS2 tonometer - -0.8 mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$).

As for DBP, the deviation from the control values for the B2 tonometer was +7.1 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for tonometer A2 - -5.8 mm Hg. Art. ($p \leq 0.05$), for the OMRON RS2 tonometer - +0.7 mm Hg. Art. ($p \geq 0.05$).

Regarding group 3, we can conclude that all automatic blood pressure monitors with an arm cuff and the OMRON RS2 wrist tonometer determined values close to those of a mechanical tonometer and can be used for ambulatory blood pressure measurement in patients with AF.

Discussion. To achieve target blood pressure values, in addition to drug therapy, it is necessary to obtain objective data on SBP and DBP, which is sometimes difficult in patients with heart rhythm disturbances due to the possibility of device errors increasing with severity. The data obtained in three groups of patients showed that the results of measuring blood pressure in patients with arrhythmia of any severity using automatic tonometers OMRON M2 BASIC and OMRON RS2 are close and statistically indistinguishable from the results of measuring a mechanical tonometer.

The other devices studied (A1, A2 and B1, B2) had statistically significant deviations from the mechanical blood pressure meter, and these differences were more pronounced the more severe the patient's arrhythmia. The general trend in all groups: for tonometers A, blood pressure readings were lower than for the mechanical tonometer, for tonometers B - higher, and these differences were statistically significant.

Conclusion. Ensuring blood pressure control in patients with AF, both inpatient and outpatient, is an important component of adequate management of patients with this pathology. The more accurately the device shows blood pressure in patients with arrhythmia, the more correct antihypertensive therapy will be, which is especially important for moderate and severe patients. And if in patients with mild, stable arrhythmia, almost any automatic tonometer can be used, then for more severe cases, a device is needed that will show the exact value of SBP and DBP with a significantly changed rhythm. A clinical study has shown that it is possible to use OMRON M2 BASIC and OMRON RS2 tonometers in the intensive care unit. We can also recommend OMRON M2 BASIC and OMRON RS2 for measuring blood pressure in patients with AF at an outpatient appointment and for self-monitoring of blood pressure by patients at home.

References

1. Arterial hypertension in adults. Clinical guidelines. 2020.
2. Muromtseva G.A., Kontsevaya A.V., Konstantinov V.V. et al. Prevalence of risk factors for non-communicable diseases in the Russian population in 2012–2013. Results of the ESSE-RF study. Cardiovascular therapy and prevention 2014; 13(6):4–11.
3. Kearney P.M., Whelton M., Reynolds K. et al. Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. Lancet. 2005; 365:217–223.

4. Stergiou G., Kollias A., Parati G., O'Brien E. Office blood pressure measurement. The weak cornerstone of hypertension diagnosis. *Hypertension*. 2018; 71:813–815.
5. Gorbunov V.M., Fedorova E.Yu., Platonova E.V. The variety of modern methods for measuring blood pressure and the recommendations of the European Society of Hypertension 2018. *Rational pharmacotherapy in cardiology*. 2020; 16(1):126–132.
6. Rienstra M., Van Veldhuisen D.J., Crijns H.J., Van Gelder I.C.; RACE Investigators. Enhanced cardiovascular morbidity and mortality during rhythm control treatment in persistent atrial fibrillation in hypertensives: data of the RACE study. *Eur Heart J*. 2007; 28(6):741–751.
7. Баранова Е.И. Фибрилляция предсердий у больных артериальной гипертензией. *Артериальная гипертензия*. 2011;17(4):293–304.
8. Hansson L., Lindholm L.H., Ekblom T. et al. Randomized trial of old and new antihypertensive drugs in elderly patients: cardiovascular mortality and morbidity the Swedish Trial in Old Patients with hypertension-2 study. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9192):1751–1756.
9. Hansson L., Lindholm L.H., Niskanen L. et al. Effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition compared with conventional therapy on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertension: the Captopril Prevention Project (CAPPP) randomized trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9153):611–616.
10. Dahlöf B., Devereux R.B., Kjeldsen S.E. et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension study (LIFE): a randomized trial against atenolol. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9311):995–1003.
11. Eckert S. Taking blood pressure in the presence of atrial fibrillation. *MMW Fortschr Med*. 2007;149(46):36–38 (in German). PMID: 18069191.