Work carried out to ensure academic and organizational-management independence in HEIs of Uzbekistan: problems and solutions

Alimqulov Xikmatillo

Teacher of Tashkent State University of Economics

Abstract: In this article, the work carried out to ensure academic and organizationalmanagement independence in HEIs: problems and solutions, the opinions of our country and foreign scientists are mentioned.

Key words: Government interference, external funding influences, institutional governance issues, market forces and competition, regulatory constraints, lack of academic freedom.

Introduction.

Ensuring academic and organizationalmanagement independence in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) can face several challenges, including:

1. Government interference: HEIs may face pressure from government authorities or policymakers to align their academic programs, research priorities, and institutional decisions with political agendas. This interference can undermine academic freedom and compromise the quality and autonomy of education and research.

2. External funding influences: HEIs often rely on external funding sources, such as government grants, corporate sponsorships, or philanthropic donations, to support their operations and initiatives. However, these external funding sources may come with strings attached, leading to conflicts of interest and compromising the institution's independence.

3. Institutional governance issues: Inadequate governance structures, lack of transparency, and conflicts of interest within the institution's leadership can impede academic and organizational independence. Poor decisionmaking processes, limited stakeholder engagement, and ineffective oversight mechanisms can undermine the institution's autonomy and integrity.

4. Market forces and competition: HEIs are increasingly operating in a competitive higher education market, where rankings, prestige, and enrollment numbers are key factors in attracting students and resources. This competitive environment can pressure institutions to prioritize financial considerations over academic values, leading to compromises in academic integrity and independence.

5. Regulatory constraints: HEIs must comply with regulatory requirements and accreditation standards set by government agencies or professional bodies. While regulations are necessary to ensure quality and accountability, overly prescriptive or restrictive regulations can limit academic freedom, innovation, and institutional autonomy.

6. Lack of academic freedom: Faculty members and researchers may face constraints on their academic freedom to teach, research, and express their views freely. Censorship, restrictions on controversial topics, and political or ideological biases can hinder intellectual diversity and critical inquiry within the institution.

Area of Independence	Problems	Solutions
Academic Independence		
Curriculum Development	- External political or commercial influence on course content.	- Establishing a faculty-led curriculum committee with clear guidelines for external input.
Research Freedom	- Restrictions on research topics due to funding sources or political pressure.	- Securing diverse funding sources and setting institutional policies to protect academic freedom.
Faculty Hiring	- Pressure to hire based on political, social, or commercial considerations rather than merit.	- Implementing transparent, merit-based hiring processes with peer review and oversight.
Organizational-Management Independence		
Governance	- Excessive external control over institutional policies and decision-making.	- Developing robust internal governance structures with stakeholder representation.
Financial Management	- Dependence on limited funding sources affecting autonomy.	- Diversifying funding streams, including grants, endowments, and partnerships.
Administrative Autonomy	- Interference from external bodies in administrative matters.	- Clear delineation of roles and responsibilities between institution and external bodies.

Research and methods.

Addressing these challenges requires a commitment to upholding academic and organizational management independence, promoting transparent governance practices, fostering a culture of academic freedom, and advocating for policies that safeguard the autonomy and integrity of HEIs. Collaboration with stakeholders, engagement with the academic community, and advocacy for institutional autonomy are essential to uphold the principles of academic and organizational independence in higher education.

1. Problems:

- Government interference: State intervention in academic and management decisions can undermine autonomy.
- External funding influences: Reliance on external funding sources can lead to conflicts of interest and compromise independence.

- Governance issues: Poor governance structures, lack of transparency, and conflicts of interest can impede independence.
- Market forces: Competition in the higher education sector can pressure institutions to prioritize financial considerations over academic values.
- Regulatory constraints: Overly prescriptive regulations can limit autonomy and innovation.
- Lack of academic freedom: Constraints on faculty's academic freedom can hinder intellectual diversity and critical inquiry.

Student Affairs Independence		
Admissions Policies	- External quotas or requirements influencing admissions.	- Establishing autonomous admissions committees with transparent criteria.
Student Governance	- Limited student involvement in decision-making processes.	- Creating formal structures for student representation and participation in governance.
Operational Independence		
Infrastructure Development	- External influences on the prioritization of infrastructure projects.	- Independent infrastructure planning committees with stakeholder consultation.
Policy Implementation	- Imposed policies from external bodies not aligning with institutional goals.	- Institutional autonomy in policy-making with mechanisms for external input where necessary.
Quality Assurance Independence		
Accreditation	- Pressure to meet external accreditation standards that may not align with institutional goals.	- Participating in multiple accreditation bodies and emphasizing internal quality assurance processes.
Assessment and Evaluation	- External mandates affecting assessment standards and methods.	- Developing internal assessment frameworks aligned with institutional values and goals.

Results.

2. Solutions:

a. Strengthening governance: Implement transparent and accountable governance structures to ensure independence and ethical decisionmaking.
b. Advocacy and engagement: Advocate for policies that promote academic freedom and independence, engage stakeholders in decisionmaking processes, and foster a culture of open dialogue and collaboration.
c. Diversifying funding sources: Decrease reliance on a single funding source by diversifying revenue streams through partnerships, grants, and philanthropic donations.
d. Developing internal policies: Establish clear guidelines and protocols to manage external influences, protect academic freedom, and ensure organizational independence.
e. Promoting academic freedom: Create a supportive environment for faculty and researchers to pursue their scholarly work without fear of censorship or reprisal.

f. Training and capacity building: Provide training and support for faculty, staff, and leadership to understand and uphold principles of academic and organizational independence.

Discussion.

By addressing these problems and implementing these solutions, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) can work towards ensuring academic and organizationalmanagement independence, fostering a culture of autonomy, integrity, and excellence in education and research.

The work carried out to ensure academic and organizational management independence in higher education institutions (HEIs) has several practical effects on the functioning and outcomes of these institutions. Some of the key practical effects include: Autonomy in decisionmaking: Academic and organizational management independence enables HEIs to make decisions regarding curriculum, research, faculty hiring, and strategic planning without external interference. This autonomy allows institutions to respond more effectively to the needs and demands of their students, faculty, and stakeholders. Innovation and creativity: With greater independence, HEIs are able to experiment with new ideas and approaches, fostering a culture of innovation and creativity. This can lead to the development of cuttingedge research, teaching methods, and extracurricular programs that differentiate the institution and enhance its reputation. Academic freedom: Academic independence safeguards the freedom of faculty members to pursue research and teaching activities without fear of censorship or interference. This freedom is essential for the advancement of knowledge and the promotion of critical thinking within the academic community.

Conclusion.

Accountability and transparency: While independence provides autonomy, it also comes with a responsibility to ensure accountability and transparency in decisionmaking processes. HEIs that value independence typically have robust governance structures and mechanisms in place to ensure that decisions are made in the best interest of the institution and its stakeholders. Quality assurance: Academic and organizational management independence is often associated with a commitment to quality assurance and continuous improvement. HEIs that value independence are more likely to invest in faculty development, infrastructure, and resources to maintain high standards of excellence in teaching, research, and service. The practical effects of ensuring academic and organizational management independence in HEIs are a stronger institutional identity, a more vibrant academic community, and a greater capacity for innovation and creativity. These effects contribute to the overall success and sustainability of higher education institutions in an increasingly competitive global environment.

List of used literatures:

1. SYSTEM OF PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN 2022 / G. Yangiboyeva
2. ESSENTIAL ASPECTS AND SIGNIFICANCE OF USING DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN EDUCATION 2022 / J. Oripov, O. Tokhtayev
3. QUALITY OF EDUCATION: OPINIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS ON REFORMS, PROBLEMS, SOLUTIONS AND PROSPECTS 2021 / Mavlyanov, Aminjon, Achilov, Ganijon Bobojonovich, Ubaydullayev, Eldor Ikhtiyor Ogli

4. NEW UZBEKISTAN: NEW EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM AND NEW APPROACHES BASIS OF EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT. DEVELOPMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION: PROBLEM AND SOLUTION 2023 / Nasiba Madreymova
5. PROSPECTS FOR IMPROVING THE LEGAL BASIS OF EDUCATION 2022 / Khojamberdiyev Rozimuhammad Yigitali Ogli